1	X	
2	BOARD MEETING OF THE WATER AUTHORITY	
3	OF GREAT NECK NORTH	
4	X	
5		
6	50 Watermill Lane	
7	50 Watermill Lane Great Neck, New York Held via Zoom	
8	October 18, 2021 6:05 P.M.	
9		
10	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS	
11		
12	A P P E A R A N C E S:	
13		
14	Michael C. Kalnick, Chairperson, Village of Kings Point	
15	Carol Frank, Director, Town of North Hempstead	
16	Jay Johneas, Director, Village of Great Neck	
17	Dan Levy, Director, Village of Saddle Rock	
18	Dana Lustbader, MD, Director, Village of Kensington	
19	Gerald Schneiderman, Director, Village of Great Neck Plaza	
20	Michael Smiley, Director, Village of Great Neck Estates	
21	Steven Weinberg, Director, Village of Thomaston	
22		
23	The meeting was held pursuant to NY State legislation adopted on September 1, 2021, which , until January 15, 2022, in substance, permits public corporations to hold meetings and take actions without in-person access to the	
24	meeting provided that the public has the ability to view or listen to such meeting and the meeting is transcribed.	
25		

1 ALSO PRESENT:

2

- 3 From the Water Authority of Great Neck North:
- 4 Ralph J. Kreitzman, Vice-Chairperson
- 5
- 6 Greg Graziano, Superintendent
- 7 Michael Rispoli, Assistant Superintendent
- 8 Sue Huang, Civil Engineer
- 9 Judith Flynn, Treasurer
- 10 Debra Ray, Secretary

11

- 12 Stephen, Limmer, Counsel, McLaughlin & Stern, LLP
- 13 Gary Stuart, Associate, CDM Smith, Consulting Engineer

14

- 15 ALSO PRESENT:
- 16 Mayor of Kensington, Susan Lopatkin (for plaque
- 17 presentation)

18

- 19 NOT PRESENT:
- 20 Robert Graziano, Deputy Chairperson
- 21 Adam Solow, Supervisor of Water Plant Operations

22

- 23
- 24
- 25

1	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: Your replacement is
2	excellent, of course.
3	MAYOR LOPATKIN: I knew Dana would be a
4	wonderful replacement. Thank you.
5	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: She is. Everybody, we
6	delivered the other day
7	MAYOR LOPATKIN: Wait, wait. I want to show
8	you what it looks like
9	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: I would like to read
10	what the plaque says: Presented in 2021 to
11	Susan Lopatkin. For your visionary guidance and
12	insight.
13	With deepest appreciation and gratitude for
14	your distinguished service as a Director of the
15	Water Authority of Great Neck North from 2008 to
16	2021.
17	Your dedicated leadership and unwavering
18	spirit as a Director will forever benefit those
19	we serve and those who follow in your footsteps.
20	Your thoughtfulness, advice and friendship
21	will be missed and never forgotten. And it's
22	signed by all of us.
23	DIRECTOR LEVY: That's very nice.
24	MAYOR LOPATKIN: I have to say, you know, it
25	really was very informative and interesting,

1	you know, I really learned a lot and anyone who
2	talks to me about water, I have all the answers
3	now so I don't have to worry that I am going to
4	be tongue-tied.
5	So do you know what, it was really great.
6	You guys do an amazing job. I tell people all
7	the time, if they ever attended a Water
8	Authority Board Meeting they would be so
9	impressed by the professionalism and the
10	dedication and just plain attention to detail that
11	you guys have. I think it's really a testament
12	DIRECTOR LEVY: You already got the plaque,
13	you don't need to go on.
14	MAYOR LOPATKIN: It's all community service.
15	We all do it and I think you should all give
16	yourselves a pat on the back for doing what you
17	do.
18	DIRECTOR LEVY: Just teasing you. You're
19	great. I miss you.
20	MAYOR LOPATKIN: You can still call me.
21	(Whereupon there was a discussion held off
22	the record.)
23	MAYOR LOPATKIN: Everyone, thank you again.
24	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: It was our pleasure.
25	Thank you for your service.

1	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: On to business.
2	Approval of the draft minutes of
3	September 20th. [Res. #21-10-01]
4	DIRECTOR LEVY: I so move.
5	I don't remember it having so many pages. I
6	thought it was a lot shorter.
7	MS. RAY: It's verbatim while we have the
8	Zoom meetings.
9	DIRECTOR LEVY: Why are we doing it that way?
10	MS. RAY: It has to be that way because you
11	are on Zoom.
12	MR. LIMMER: When you have a virtual meeting,
13	you have to have a literal transcript of the
14	meeting, Dan.
15	DIRECTOR LEVY: We didn't used to do it this
16	way.
17	MR. LIMMER: No. If you have a Zoom
18	meeting
19	DIRECTOR LEVY: Oh, the Zoom meeting needs to
20	be.
21	MR. LIMMER: Because it's Zoom.
22	DIRECTOR LEVY: Now I understand.
23	MS. RAY: By the way, where is Greg?
24	SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: I am here but my
25	camera went out.

1	(Whereupon there was a discussion held off
2	the record.)
3	DIRECTOR LEVY: We can't see him?
4	SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: No. Technical
5	difficulties with some of my equipment.
6	(Whereupon there was a discussion held off
7	the record.)
8	DIRECTOR LEVY: Now we can see you.
9	MS. RAY: That's better.
10	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: All right. Dan moved.
11	Seconded minutes?
12	DIRECTOR SMILEY: Seconded.
13	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: All in favor?
14	(Whereupon all members responded in the
15	affirmative.)
16	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: Any abstentions?
17	(No response.)
18	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: Approval of the
19	Abstract of Claims. [Res. #21-10-02]
20	DIRECTOR LEVY: I asked offline before, I
21	noticed that there is a lot of item expenses. I
22	was wondering if we are congregating them and I
23	believe I was answered that it is so whatever
24	has gotten reimbursed from the insurance, the
25	rest of it will be, I guess sent to FEMA.

6

1	Because I notice every other line there is an
2	item expense.
3	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: Yes. We are
4	accumulating now
5	MS. FLYNN: That's correct.
6	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: and determining what
7	our claim will be.
8	DIRECTOR LEVY: You don't want to isolate
9	them in a separate spreadsheet as opposed to the
10	general account?
11	TREASURER FLYNN: Right. We had them
12	isolated in the separate account. This is a
13	listing of how the checks are being paid, but we
14	do have them in one general ledger account.
15	DIRECTOR LEVY: Okay.
16	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: Very good.
17	Approval for that?
18	DIRECTOR LEVY: I so move.
19	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: Seconded?
20	DIRECTOR WEINBERG: Seconded.
21	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: All in favor?
22	(Whereupon all members responded in the
23	affirmative.)
24	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: Any abstentions?
25	(No response.)

1	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: Judy, Treasurer's
2	Monthly Report.
3	Anything unusual? [Res. #21-10-03]
4	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: Anything unusual?
5	TREASURER FLYNN: Nothing unusual to report.
6	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: Thank you.
7	Revenue and Expenses Quarterly Report.
8	MS. RAY: Do we have an approval on the
9	Treasurer's Report?
10	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: We generally don't, do
11	we?
12	MR. LIMMER: We should have a motion to
13	accept it.
14	MS. RAY: We have a motion to accept it.
15	DIRECTOR LEVY: I so move.
16	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: Seconded?
17	DIRECTOR LUSTBADER: Second.
18	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: All in favor?
19	(Whereupon all members responded in the
20	affirmative.)
21	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: Any abstentions?
22	(No response.)
23	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: Revenue and Expenses
	1
24	Quarterly Report. Any questions?

1	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: Motion to accept.
2	[Res. #21-10-04]
3	DIRECTOR SMILEY: Motion to accept.
4	DIRECTOR FRANK: Second.
5	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: All in favor?
6	(Whereupon all members responded in the
7	affirmative.)
8	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: Any abstentions?
9	(No response.)
10	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: Capital Improvement
11	Projects Report.
12	Any questions?
13	(No response.)
14	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: Motion to accept?
15	[Res. #21-10-05]
16	DIRECTOR WEINBERG: So moved.
17	DIRECTOR JOHNEAS: Second.
18	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: All in favor?
19	(Whereupon all members responded in the
20	affirmative.)
21	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: Any abstentions?
22	(No response.)
23	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: The Engineers
24	Certification of our Final Budget.
25	Greg, do you have any comments on that?

1	SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: No. Judy
2	wanted to give a quick synopsis.
3	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: Yes.
4	TREASURER FLYNN: So everyone saw the draft
5	budget last month at the meeting. The new
6	finalized budget is very similar. We just
7	primarily removed the property survey expense
8	which was \$100,000. We were able to find
9	another way of getting those surveys done that we
10	needed so we were able to remove that.
11	And then the other large item that was added
12	was just in the capital improvements. Because
13	we see the expenses coming in so high for materials
14	and labor for the office improvements, we increased
15	the structural improvement for the second floor for
16	next year by \$70,000.
17	Other than that, there were just very tiny
18	differences.
19	
20	
21	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: Motion to accept?
22	[Res. #21-10-06]
23	DIRECTOR LEVY: I so move.
24	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: Seconded?
25	DIRECTOR WEINBERG: Second.

10

1	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: All in favor?
2	(Whereupon all members responded in the
3	affirmative.)
4	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: Any abstentions?
5	(No response.)
6	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: The Certificate of the
7	Treasurer Required by the Bonding Resolution.
8	A motion to accept?
9	[Res. #21-10-07]
10	MR. LIMMER: There is a resolution in the
11	minutes under item one.
12	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: It's attached.
13	DIRECTOR LEVY: Where is it? I don't see it.
14	MR. LIMMER: 1.7, there is a proposed
15	resolution to be adopted.
16	DIRECTOR LEVY: 1.7?
17	TREASURER FLYNN: Yes. That's the
18	Certificate that I need to send to the trustee
19	so we meet the revenue requirements.
20	(Whereupon there was a discussion held off
21	the record.)
22	MS. RAY: Do you want me to bring it up?
23	DIRECTOR LEVY: I've got it. Okay.
24	You want me to move on it?
25	

1	second it.
2	DIRECTOR LEVY: I make a motion.
3	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: Seconded?
4	DIRECTOR JOHNEAS: Second.
5	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: All in favor?
6	(Whereupon all members responded in the
7	affirmative.)
8	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: Any abstentions?
9	(No response.)
10	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: Is Gary on?
11	MR. STUART: I'm here.
12	Good evening, everybody.
13	Our engineers report was in the packet,
14	Exhibit 2.1.
15	I would be happy to answer any questions that
16	anybody has.
17	(No response.)
18	DIRECTOR JOHNEAS: I have a question:
19	On Well 6 it looks like you're
20	getting all the suppliers to come in and do a
21	start up.
22	When is that going to happen?
23	MR. STUART: Hopefully within
24	the next week or two. We are going through

4	contractor did lact woold co we are taking
1	contractor did last week so we are taking
2	samples this week starting tomorrow and
3	hopefully those come out all right. Then we'll
4	be able to bring both Trojan and Tigg in to do
5	their pieces of equipment.
6	DIRECTOR JOHNEAS: Just curious to see how
7	this system works and how it functions.
8	SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: We had three
9	and a half to four months of on and off bad
10	"bacs" in the well so this should have been
11	online months ago.
12	DIRECTOR JOHNEAS: I understand. But I was
13	just wondering if I was more concerned in
14	questioning about the final startup with the AOP
15	system.
16	SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: So are we
17	that was a vulnerable well in the wintertime
18	because there is an air stripper there.
19	Typically if it snows, et cetera, we don't run
20	air strippers and it clogs the filters. So
21	we're hoping to get it online so we can actually
22	see how it works before we roll in next spring
23	and summer.
24	So we are hoping that there is going to be
25	sampling again tomorrow. Then we are looking

1	for three or four good ones in a row.
2	Hopefully that will happen this time so we can
3	then move to the next.
4	We typically wind up getting two good ones or
5	three good ones and a bad one. It's been really
6	helter-skelter with the sampling of this well.
7	DIRECTOR JOHNEAS: I just wanted to see it
8	running.
9	SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: When we get to it,
10	definitely. I'll have you come by if you want to
11	come by whenever we get it up and running.
12	DIRECTOR JOHNEAS: Yes, please. Thank you.
13	SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: And Dana too.
14	DIRECTOR LUSTBADER: I would love to have that
15	tour. I think the hurricane set us back a
16	little bit.
17	SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: Finally
18	construction started at the administration
19	office today.
20	DIRECTOR LEVY: That's good news.
21	SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: Hopefully by
22	December we will be up and running.
23	DIRECTOR LEVY: Quick question: The Old
24	Mill 2 water main extension.
25	What project is it serving?

1	SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: The one off of
2	Clover Drive.
3	DIRECTOR FRANK: The Lalezarian Project.
4	DIRECTOR LEVY: On Old Mill Road?
5	SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: It's called Old
6	Mill 2 but the access-way will be off of Clover
7	Drive through Great Neck Estates.
8	DIRECTOR LEVY: Okay.
9	DIRECTOR FRANK: Behind Young Israel. Two
10	houses up from Young Israel.
11	DIRECTOR LEVY: It's not on Old Mill Road,
12	it's really off it. Okay.
13	DIRECTOR FRANK: It's right by Young Israel
14	on Clover.
15	DIRECTOR LEVY: Okay.
16	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: Greg, you're on.
17	SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: First item I
18	have is renewal of cleaning services.
19	The current office cleaning company, JanPro
20	is looking for a 5% increase for 2021-2022.
21	They haven't asked for an increase for the prior
22	three years.
23	Based on that Debra went out and got some
24	additional quotes and pricing. JanPro is
25	actually subcontracting out because we weren't

1	happy with the people who were doing the office
2	cleaning. They are actually subcontracting out
3	to Blessed Cleaning Service.
4	DIRECTOR FRANK: Do they also pray for the
5	building at the end?
6	DIRECTOR LEVY: If they did, they need to do
7	a better job.
8	DIRECTOR SMILEY: I want to know what Magic
9	Touch is willing to do for \$7,500.
10	MS. RAY: I don't know.
11	(Whereupon there was a discussion held off
12	the record.)
13	SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: So Blessed is
14	willing to keep the actual current rate that we
15	have at \$1,699 and since they are actually the
16	ones technically cleaning the office as a sub to
17	JanPro, I recommend the Board award the cleaning
18	contractor to Blessed Cleaning Services for
19	\$1,699 pending approval of their insurance
20	certificate. Just want to make sure they have
21	the proper insurance certificate.
22	MR. LIMMER: Greg, did you say you weren't
23	happy with what they are doing or you weren't
24	happy with JanPro before they brought in
25	Blessed?

1	SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: We were not happy
2	with JanPro before they brought in Blessed.
3	MR. LIMMER: But you are happy with Blessed.
4	SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: We are happy
5	yes. We didn't know we were using Blessed.
6	Our checks right now are going to JanPro.
7	MR. LIMMER: Okay. Thank you.
8	DIRECTOR JOHNEAS: But you are happy with
9	Blessed's work?
10	SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: Yes.
11	DIRECTOR LEVY: I move to accept.
12	[Res. #21-10-08]
13	SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: If for some
14	reason Blessed cannot meet our insurance requirements,
15	I ask the Board to let us contract with JanPro so we don't
16	have to come back, because the contract is expiring
17	November 1st to renew with JanPro if we can't work it
18	out with Blessed.
19	DIRECTOR SMILEY: JanPro will probably sub it
20	out to Blessed.
21	DIRECTOR LEVY: We will end up with Blessed
22	regardless.
23	Blessed will get paid less but JanPro will
24	take its fees.
25	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: Motion to approve.

1	DIRECTOR LEVY: I did.
2	DIRECTOR JOHNEAS: Second.
3	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: All in favor?
4	(Whereupon all members responded in the
5	affirmative.)
6	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: Any abstentions?
7	(No response.)
8	SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: Next item is
9	Designation of the Water Authority as
10	Lead Agency.
11	There is a grant application potential through
12	EFC for a WIIA Grant and the deadline is November
13	22nd. There are still a lot of questions to be
14	answered by
15	the EFC and New York State Department of Health if
16	we can proceed; however, I am hoping to get those
17	answers tomorrow.
18	In the meantime, we are on the clock to start
19	doing the SEQUA, et cetera, all the other stuff
20	we have to do as lead agency because there is 30
21	day time lines but we need to get this out as
22	soon as possible.
23	So I am asking the Board, you can see from
24	Sue's letter, to designate the Authority as
25	lead agency. We have done this in the past for

1	all other funding we have applied for; we have
2	been the lead agency.
3	DIRECTOR LEVY: Moved. [Res.# 21-10-09]
4	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: Second?
5	DIRECTOR LUSTBADER: Second.
6	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: All in favor?
7	(Whereupon all members responded in the
8	affirmative.)
9	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: Any abstentions?
10	(No response.)
11	DIRECTOR FRANK: I just have one question.
12	SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: Sure.
13	DIRECTOR FRANK: With this mitigation, is
14	that going to mean that in the future we will
15	end up with some redundant systems so that we
16	will automatically have computer backup if there
17	is some sort of outage?
18	SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: So it's very
19	complicated. If the money is available this
20	year Steve, stop me at any point if this
21	should be in Executive Session there is
22	talk that the \$14 million project that's going
23	on across the street for the emerging
24	contaminants, from my conversation with the EFC,
25	it's not considered an emerging contaminant

1	project unless you are exceeding the MCL. So unless
2	you have gone past the MCL, you either ask for a
3	waiver to give that water to the
4	public, you're not considered an emerging
-	
5	contaminant project. Although the name of our
6	project is Wells 2-A, 9 and 11-A Emerging
7	Contaminants Project, it doesn't matter to them,
8	it doesn't matter that Well 11-A has hit the
9	MCL, it's just that it hasn't exceeded it.
10	So the way they work the WIIA grant money
11	if you have exceeded, you are considered an
12	emerging contaminant project; it's 60% of project
13	costs with no cap. If you haven't exceeded the
14	MCL, which we haven't, it's 60% of eligible project
15	costs with a \$3 million cap.
16	So we are going to put in for that definitely
17	by the November 22nd deadline. However, what
18	happened across the street, Carol, to answer
19	your question, is we are working on many
20	different angles, whether it be EFC funding,
21	FEMA funding, et cetera, to look at either
22	putting a flood wall around that property or
23	raise up at a minimum Well 9 and the A plant and
24	the air stripper and get that out of the flood
25	zones.

20

1	We do have redundancy as far as data. There
2	is one server for SCADA at Watermill Lane,
3	but there is also one kept up at Kings Point
4	Village Hall; for the secondary SCADA we have one
5	office server which is kept at Watermill Lane.
6	We can look at putting one in Kings Point.
7	That's all backed up to the cloud anyway so we
8	do have ability to get up and running fairly
9	quick should the one server fail.
10	But the goal is to get Watermill Lane, like
11	we did on the opposite side with 2-A and 11-A
12	and the booster station, we want to storm harden
13	it because we feel this is only going to become more
14	and more possible in the future.
15	Yes, the goal is to as long as we are not
16	capped, back to EFC is thinking we would be
17	capped at \$3 million a year total, so if that's
18	the case we are just going to put in for
19	Watermill Lane treatment across the street this
20	year and hope there is more money available next
21	year and if there is, then the other side, the
22	administration side, Well 9 and the A plant we
23	would submit next year.
24	But we have to keep moving forward as if the
25	funding is available until we are told it's not.

1	DIRECTOR FRANK: Thank you.
2	SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: You're welcome.
3	MR. LIMMER: You need the motion. Was this
4	adopted? I don't recall.
5	DIRECTOR FRANK: It was.
6	DIRECTOR LEVY: It was already.
7	DIRECTOR FRANK: I asked a question
8	afterwards. It wasn't a question about whether
9	to vote for it or not.
10	MR. LIMMER: On the next item we should go
11	into Executive Session to discuss it first then
12	you can vote on it when we come out.
13	SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: Should we table
14	that until we do everything?
15	MR. LIMMER: Table it until after Executive
16	Session.
17	SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: So follow-up to
18	what we just went over is a proposal from H2M to
19	provide engineering services for Hurricane Ida
20	storm support.
21	They broke their proposal down into three
22	phases: A, B and C. Task A, damage
23	assessment/return to service which was on the
24	second page, \$13,000, has already been spent.
25	They came out under emergency and performed, you

1	know, a lot of work. It's on the first page
2	under "damage assessment return to service" and
3	all the bulleted items. They cleaned our clear well,
4	power-washed our clear well, took photos.
5	Logging time, perform condition assessment to
6	electrical damage, et cetera. So that's already
7	been spent and gone.
8	The rest of the proposal, Task B is cost
9	recovery support. This is all to do with FEMA
10	but it also plays into the services available.
11	One report will be written for both but Sue is
12	working on this primarily, feeding things to me
13	to review, then H2M will be also make sure we
14	cover all of the potential cost recovery for the
15	damage that we have seen now. So that's not to
16	exceed a fee of \$15,000.
17	Depending on how that moves forward, we get
18	into Task C which is a not to exceed a fee of
19	\$50,000 and that's outlined on page two what
20	they would do for mitigation support. They
21	would also sub out to a firm called ICF which is
22	very familiar with flood issues, flood
23	hardening, storm hardening, et cetera.
24	If FEMA seems receptive, now there is a cost
25	analysis FEMA does says all right, well, it will

1	cost you \$3-\$400,000 to rebuild now but you're
2	asking us for \$10 million to storm harden
3	everything. They could take the position of,
4	well, we'll continue to pay you a fix every time
5	you get flooded to repair and restore because
6	the cost analysis may not be in the favor.
7	However, H2M is not guaranteeing anything but
8	they feel it could be a good case made based on
9	what's been in the media lately that they are
10	saying 25% of United States infrastructure is
11	now subject to flooding. Everyone knows these
12	storms will be coming more and more prevalent;
13	more frequently. These 500 year storms and
14	flood events that we're talking about seem to be
15	happening every five years and nobody knows if
16	they are going to accelerate.
17	So the goal would be to try to convince FEMA
18	that it's in the Authority, FEMA and the community's
19	best interests to, at least even if
20	they don't address the administration building,
21	at least address Well 9 and the A Plant to
22	protect the water supply from flooding again in
23	the future like what just happened. That's the
24	hope, but we may not get to there during the
25	cost recovery support if we are getting a

1	feeling from FEMA that they are not even
2	interested, we are not even going to move on to
3	AOC. AOC would only be if we feel FEMA is
4	interested in looking at mitigation for the
5	future.
6	DIRECTOR LEVY: How long from now would we be
7	facing that?
8	SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: How long from now
9	would be facing what, Task C?
10	DIRECTOR LEVY: Yeah.
11	SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: Could be in the
12	next couple months, hopefully. As of right now,
13	Sue can correct me if I am wrong, we don't even
14	have a New York State designated person to
15	handle the FEMA claims.
16	Correct, Sue?
17	MS. HUANG: Correct.
18	SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: Because
19	Nassau was one of the last counties to be
20	declared an emergency so we have the Sue
21	put in a couple initial documents to FEMA, put
22	them on notice that we do have damage, but
23	we are still waiting for our New York
24	
	designated person from FEMA to reach out

1	to what Carol asked before about the EFC is
2	about redundancy storm hardening, raising things
3	up, that's how the \$50,000 will be spent, in
4	studying all that. But it could have a
5	crossover effect if the EFC doesn't cap us at
6	\$3 million this year; the same
7	report would be submitted to both EFC and FEMA.
8	If we are capped this year, then we would
9	hopefully EFC has money next year, then we would
10	submit to EFC next year if we are capped this
11	year. So it's a process, A, B and C, but to
12	keep everything moving and keep everything
13	going, I recommend that the Board award all
14	three tasks and use as needed.
15	DIRECTOR JOHNEAS: Are they all recoverable
16	through FEMA? The mitigation costs, Greg, the
17	H2M costs?
18	SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: I don't know that
19	answer.
20	DIRECTOR JOHNEAS: A, the damage assessment
21	cost recovery and then eventually the mitigation
22	support that totals to about \$78,000 if it's all
23	used. That part of reimbursement from FEMA?
24	SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: I don't know.
25	We'll ask that question. We will put in for it

1	if it is reimbursable, but I don't know.	
2	Sue, do you know that answer?	
3	MS. HUANG: Part A, I think definitely is	
4	eligible for reimbursement. Cost B and C, I am	
5	not sure because I never encountered it. We can	
6	find out.	
7	DIRECTOR JOHNEAS: Never encountered B and C?	
8	MS. HUANG: No, I have never encountered cost	
9	recovering support through FEMA. I have not	
10	been I've only been at the Authority since	
11	2015.	
12	DIRECTOR JOHNEAS: Okay.	
13	SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: Most of the costs	
14	in FEMA in the past, Jay, for cost recovery, we	
15	did it ourselves. There was not this magnitude.	
16	This time it's a little bit more than we have	
17	ever been before so with Sue saying she hasn't	
18	seen it is because we haven't had to use an	
19	engineering firm.	
20	DIRECTOR JOHNEAS: I don't think we have a	
21	choice. I was just asking the question to see	
22	if that's part of the bill.	
23	SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: Right. H2M will	
24	let us know if it's recoverable but I don't have	
25	the answer for you tonight, unfortunately.	

1	DIRECTOR LEVY: None of this	is recoverable
2	through insurance?	
3	SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO:	Oh, yes. FEMA
4	requires everything be put through insura	nce so
5	the \$13,000 will definitely be put through	
6	insurance.	
7	DIRECTOR LEVY: Insurance wi	ll never pay you
8	for mitigation. I already worked with the	same
9	people. They are not going to pay for	
10	mitigation, they are going to pay for recov	very.
11	SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO:	Right. Damage
12	assessment, return to serve when they pa	y the
13	\$15,000.	
14	DIRECTOR LEVY: That's why I	asked before how
15	long do we have to consider mitigation be	efore we
16	get there. Why go there now?	
17	We definitely have to we spent t	he 13. We
18	have to spend the 15 because for the 50; v	ve should
19	wait until we get to that crossroads.	
20	MR. LIMMER: I think the issue	, Dan, is
21	whether you think you're going to need it,	, not
22	to have to wait for subsequent meetings i	f they
23	want to proceed rapidly. So it's up to the	
24	discretion of Greg if he is going to utilize a	iny
25	of this or at least he has the authority rath	ier

2DIRECTOR LEVY:I am reluctant to spend3\$50,000 before I know what they can do and if4it's reimbursable, so I think we have to get5answers to that before we go and commit another6\$50,000.7SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO:8never say in writing, you can see what they put9in their mitigation support, estimated cost of10\$250,000, several million.11Even if we proceed with the mitigation12support, there is still no guarantee we will get13anything.14DIRECTOR LEVY:Okay.15SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO:Ever.16DIRECTOR LEVY:But we have to have a concept17of what we are trying to mitigate, how much18would the mitigation cost, then we can see.19Right now we are pledging \$50,000, what are we20fixing?21SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO:So it all depends22on what we feel FEMA would be willing to accept.23It could be a flood wall, like I said earlier,24around the A Plant, Well 9 and the25administration building. That has a lot of the	1	than waiting for a meeting to move things along.
4it's reimbursable, so I think we have to get5answers to that before we go and commit another6\$50,000.7SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: They will8never say in writing, you can see what they put9in their mitigation support, estimated cost of10\$250,000, several million.11Even if we proceed with the mitigation12support, there is still no guarantee we will get13anything.14DIRECTOR LEVY: Okay.15SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: Ever.16DIRECTOR LEVY: But we have to have a concept17of what we are trying to mitigate, how much18would the mitigation cost, then we can see.19Right now we are pledging \$50,000, what are we20fixing?21SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: So it all depends22on what we feel FEMA would be willing to accept.23It could be a flood wall, like I said earlier,24around the A Plant, Well 9 and the	2	DIRECTOR LEVY: I am reluctant to spend
5answers to that before we go and commit another6\$50,000.7SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: They will8never say in writing, you can see what they put9in their mitigation support, estimated cost of10\$250,000, several million.11Even if we proceed with the mitigation12support, there is still no guarantee we will get13anything.14DIRECTOR LEVY: Okay.15SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: Ever.16DIRECTOR LEVY: But we have to have a concept17of what we are trying to mitigate, how much18would the mitigation cost, then we can see.19Right now we are pledging \$50,000, what are we20fixing?21SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: So it all depends22on what we feel FEMA would be willing to accept.23It could be a flood wall, like I said earlier,24around the A Plant, Well 9 and the	3	\$50,000 before I know what they can do and if
 \$50,000. SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: They will never say in writing, you can see what they put in their mitigation support, estimated cost of \$250,000, several million. Even if we proceed with the mitigation support, there is still no guarantee we will get anything. DIRECTOR LEVY: Okay. SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: Ever. DIRECTOR LEVY: But we have to have a concept of what we are trying to mitigate, how much would the mitigation cost, then we can see. Right now we are pledging \$50,000, what are we SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: So it all depends on what we feel FEMA would be willing to accept. It could be a flood wall, like I said earlier, around the A Plant, Well 9 and the 	4	it's reimbursable, so I think we have to get
7SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO:They will8never say in writing, you can see what they put9in their mitigation support, estimated cost of10\$250,000, several million.11Even if we proceed with the mitigation12support, there is still no guarantee we will get13anything.14DIRECTOR LEVY:15SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO:16DIRECTOR LEVY:17of what we are trying to mitigate, how much18would the mitigation cost, then we can see.19Right now we are pledging \$50,000, what are we20fixing?21SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO:23It could be a flood wall, like I said earlier,24around the A Plant, Well 9 and the	5	answers to that before we go and commit another
 never say in writing, you can see what they put in their mitigation support, estimated cost of \$250,000, several million. Even if we proceed with the mitigation support, there is still no guarantee we will get anything. DIRECTOR LEVY: Okay. SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: Ever. DIRECTOR LEVY: But we have to have a concept of what we are trying to mitigate, how much would the mitigation cost, then we can see. Right now we are pledging \$50,000, what are we fixing? SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: So it all depends on what we feel FEMA would be willing to accept. It could be a flood wall, like I said earlier, around the A Plant, Well 9 and the 	6	\$50,000.
 in their mitigation support, estimated cost of \$250,000, several million. Even if we proceed with the mitigation support, there is still no guarantee we will get anything. DIRECTOR LEVY: Okay. SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: Ever. DIRECTOR LEVY: But we have to have a concept of what we are trying to mitigate, how much would the mitigation cost, then we can see. Right now we are pledging \$50,000, what are we fixing? SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: So it all depends on what we feel FEMA would be willing to accept. It could be a flood wall, like I said earlier, around the A Plant, Well 9 and the 	7	SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: They will
 \$250,000, several million. Even if we proceed with the mitigation support, there is still no guarantee we will get anything. DIRECTOR LEVY: Okay. SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: Ever. DIRECTOR LEVY: But we have to have a concept Of what we are trying to mitigate, how much would the mitigation cost, then we can see. Right now we are pledging \$50,000, what are we fixing? SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: So it all depends on what we feel FEMA would be willing to accept. It could be a flood wall, like I said earlier, around the A Plant, Well 9 and the 	8	never say in writing, you can see what they put
11Even if we proceed with the mitigation12support, there is still no guarantee we will get13anything.14DIRECTOR LEVY: Okay.15SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: Ever.16DIRECTOR LEVY: But we have to have a concept17of what we are trying to mitigate, how much18would the mitigation cost, then we can see.19Right now we are pledging \$50,000, what are we20fixing?21SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: So it all depends22on what we feel FEMA would be willing to accept.23It could be a flood wall, like I said earlier,24around the A Plant, Well 9 and the	9	in their mitigation support, estimated cost of
 support, there is still no guarantee we will get anything. DIRECTOR LEVY: Okay. SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: Ever. DIRECTOR LEVY: But we have to have a concept of what we are trying to mitigate, how much would the mitigation cost, then we can see. Right now we are pledging \$50,000, what are we fixing? SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: So it all depends on what we feel FEMA would be willing to accept. It could be a flood wall, like I said earlier, around the A Plant, Well 9 and the 	10	\$250,000, several million.
 anything. DIRECTOR LEVY: Okay. SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: Ever. DIRECTOR LEVY: But we have to have a concept of what we are trying to mitigate, how much would the mitigation cost, then we can see. Right now we are pledging \$50,000, what are we fixing? SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: So it all depends on what we feel FEMA would be willing to accept. It could be a flood wall, like I said earlier, around the A Plant, Well 9 and the 	11	Even if we proceed with the mitigation
14DIRECTOR LEVY:Okay.15SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO:Ever.16DIRECTOR LEVY:But we have to have a concept17of what we are trying to mitigate, how much18would the mitigation cost, then we can see.19Right now we are pledging \$50,000, what are we20fixing?21SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO:So it all depends22on what we feel FEMA would be willing to accept.23It could be a flood wall, like I said earlier,24around the A Plant, Well 9 and the	12	support, there is still no guarantee we will get
 SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: Ever. DIRECTOR LEVY: But we have to have a concept of what we are trying to mitigate, how much would the mitigation cost, then we can see. Right now we are pledging \$50,000, what are we fixing? SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: So it all depends on what we feel FEMA would be willing to accept. It could be a flood wall, like I said earlier, around the A Plant, Well 9 and the 	13	anything.
16DIRECTOR LEVY:But we have to have a concept17of what we are trying to mitigate, how much18would the mitigation cost, then we can see.19Right now we are pledging \$50,000, what are we20fixing?21SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO:So it all depends22on what we feel FEMA would be willing to accept.23It could be a flood wall, like I said earlier,24around the A Plant, Well 9 and the	14	DIRECTOR LEVY: Okay.
 of what we are trying to mitigate, how much would the mitigation cost, then we can see. Right now we are pledging \$50,000, what are we fixing? SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: So it all depends on what we feel FEMA would be willing to accept. It could be a flood wall, like I said earlier, around the A Plant, Well 9 and the 	15	SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: Ever.
 would the mitigation cost, then we can see. Right now we are pledging \$50,000, what are we fixing? SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: So it all depends on what we feel FEMA would be willing to accept. It could be a flood wall, like I said earlier, around the A Plant, Well 9 and the 	16	DIRECTOR LEVY: But we have to have a concept
 Right now we are pledging \$50,000, what are we fixing? SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: So it all depends on what we feel FEMA would be willing to accept. It could be a flood wall, like I said earlier, around the A Plant, Well 9 and the 	17	of what we are trying to mitigate, how much
 fixing? SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: So it all depends on what we feel FEMA would be willing to accept. It could be a flood wall, like I said earlier, around the A Plant, Well 9 and the 	18	would the mitigation cost, then we can see.
21SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO:So it all depends22on what we feel FEMA would be willing to accept.23It could be a flood wall, like I said earlier,24around the A Plant, Well 9 and the	19	Right now we are pledging \$50,000, what are we
 on what we feel FEMA would be willing to accept. It could be a flood wall, like I said earlier, around the A Plant, Well 9 and the 	20	fixing?
 It could be a flood wall, like I said earlier, around the A Plant, Well 9 and the 	21	SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: So it all depends
around the A Plant, Well 9 and the	22	on what we feel FEMA would be willing to accept.
	23	It could be a flood wall, like I said earlier,
administration building. That has a lot of the	24	around the A Plant, Well 9 and the
	25	administration building. That has a lot of the

logistical issues with it. 1 It could be raising everything up which would 2 be the administration building, Well 9, the A 3 Plant and the air stripper and all the associated 4 equipment which would be the generator, 5 6 et cetera, raising all that up out of the flood level or just raising up Well 9, the air 7 stripper and the aeration plant and generator above 8 the flood level, doing something with flood doors, et 9 cetera, for the administration building. But we have 10 to move through each of those tasks to get to where 11 we feel FEMA is receptive to do it. That's why I said 12 the 13 mitigation support, we would not proceed to that 14 unless I felt there was at least a reasonable 15 chance of recovering something, but there is no 16 guarantee. 17 18 **DIRECTOR LEVY:** To spend 50 to recover 75 doesn't make sense. To spend 50 to recovery a 19 million is a whole different story. 20 SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: Yes. 21 That's why I asked you what DIRECTOR LEVY: 22 are we doing and how much do you think it's 23 going to cost before we go in that direction? 24 SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: Well if we raise 25

everything up, we are looking at \$10 million. 1 Okay. Well you know they are **DIRECTOR LEVY:** 2 not giving you that so --3 Yeah, not based SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: 4 on what we know tonight. But if it's 5 \$10 million do they give us \$5 million? We 6 don't know that if we don't ask that question. 7 Greg, what's the downside on 8 MR. LIMMER: waiting on C to the next meeting when you know 9 more? 10 I guess the Board SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: 11 could approve A and B. 12 DIRECTOR JOHNEAS: Hold on to C until we see 13 what happens with the first round. 14 DIRECTOR LEVY: Hold on to C until we see. 15 Good. I like that. 16 DIRECTOR WEINBERG: What's the timeframe for 17 18 FEMA to respond or for you to get feedback, Greg? 19 We don't even have SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: 20 a New York State representative yet. 21 They didn't have anybody for DIRECTOR FRANK: 22 you to deal with --23 It could go very SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: 24 slow or quick. Past history with FEMA, I would 25

31

say it goes very slow. 1 DIRECTOR WEINBERG: Is it helpful for you to 2 do it on behalf of yourself with H2M and/or is 3 it beneficial to have a representative from 4 Suozzi's office or Chuck Schumer's office? 5 I'm glad you brought it up 6 DIRECTOR LEVY: because --7 SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: 8 So --**DIRECTOR WEINBERG:** Could they be helpful? 9 SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: I guess they 10 could be helpful if somebody knows how to reach 11 out to them. It's just getting them 12 to be receptive to being helpful. I mean we 13 have some other issues as well that we can't 14 seem to get people on board with -- which I 15 don't want to mention during regular --16 I am going to give you an **DIRECTOR LEVY:** 17 18 example. After Sandy, I didn't wait for FEMA. I called Steve Israel at the time. Within less 19 than a week I had four people from FEMA here and 20 this was October 28th. By November 30th I had 21 checks from FEMA to the Village of Saddle Rock. 22 Everybody else in Nassau County waited until 23 June the following year. So it does help to 24 call the congressmen. 25

1	DIRECTOR JOHNEAS: Suozzi and Curran and
2	somebody from FEMA were out on Labor Day walking
3	through the Village when a couple of streets
4	down there got flooded. So if we contact them,
5	maybe they will want to come out and help.
6	DIRECTOR LEVY: Suozzi is the person what
7	you saw was a photo op, I am not sure they were
8	there to give money out.
9	DIRECTOR JOHNEAS: I don't think he brought a
10	checkbook.
11	DIRECTOR LEVY: Lot of singing and dancing.
12	You need to call the office and get them
13	involved and they will send FEMA here.
14	Just telling you what I did.
15	DIRECTOR JOHNEAS: Try it. We ought to try
16	it.
17	MR. LIMMER: Greg, with regard to C.
18	SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: C has almost
19	two parts to it, to answer your question, Steve.
20	It's whatever is going to be written to FEMA, if
21	the EFC money is available, the same thing is
22	going to be written to EFC so it's almost like
23	two parts there. So if tomorrow I hear there is
24	additional money available for EFC and we are
25	not capped at \$3 million, we are going to miss

the November 22nd deadline. 1 MR. LIMMER: For C, if you are concerned 2 about meeting their deadline, is there a lesser 3 amount that would get what you need just to meet 4 the November deadline without going to the 5 6 \$50,000? Well it's a not to SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: 7 exceed so it would all be based on --8 MR. LIMMER: No, but I mean could you use a 9 lower not to exceed between now and the next 10 meeting so that if you had to get something out 11 to meet the November deadline, you would have 12 money there to do it but not \$50,000 which is 13 the concern of some of the board members. 14 Greg, we are not looking to DIRECTOR SMILEY: 15 write a check for \$78,000 to these people if 16 this is approved right away, right? This is 17 18 just approving the right for them to do the project for a price not to exceed 50 grand. 19 SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: Correct. And it 20 would be up to me as the Superintendent to say 21 are we going to move on to the next phase. 22 **DIRECTOR SMILEY:** I don't really see what the 23 downside is to approving this as is because we 24 are not writing a check. 25

DIRECTOR JOHNEAS: No, we are giving Greg the
authority to use it if he can and if he needs
to.
DIRECTOR SMILEY: I think that's what Greg is
hired to do and we should give him the authority
to do it.
DIRECTOR FRANK: I agree with you.
CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: Somebody make a motion?
DIRECTOR JOHNEAS: Dan, your comments.
DIRECTOR LEVY: No, I disagree with you. I
mean Greg is hired to do and we trust him,
but we are saddled with the fiscal
responsibility of managing the monies for the
Authority. Now \$50,000 is a drop in the bucket
of the budget of the Authority, but still, it's
\$50,000 and I'm not sure what it's being spent
on until I'm presented with a program; what is
it we are applying for, why are we applying for
it, what's the chance of getting what it is,
then I think it's time to talk about it. We
didn't even have an idea yet of what they are
going to do or how they are going to do or who
we were talking to but we are pledging to
possibly spend \$50,000 for something, we don't
know what, we didn't know when and we don't know

1	if we are going to get back to anything. So I
2	think until we get more information there is no
3	rush to go to this. I understand there are
4	deadlines. Deadlines for what? They didn't
5	have anybody to talk to either.
6	DIRECTOR JOHNEAS: Greg, can you explain
7	again how it's tied with EFC so we can
8	understand how they are mingled?
9	SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: Sure. So if I find
10	out tomorrow that we are not capped at the
11	\$3 million a year let me change that if we
12	find out tomorrow that we are capped at
13	\$3 million a year, we are only going to put in
14	and apply for the treatment project across the
15	street, Wells 2-A, 9 and 11-A, the emerging
16	contaminant, that's the only thing we are going
17	to apply for.
18	If we are not capped at \$3 million and
19	they'll look at this as a separate project and
20	separately, potentially give us grant money for
21	it, that is still a November 22nd deadline and I
22	would like to move forward in putting in for
23	that as well.
24	Now whatever we put into FEMA or EFC, it has
25	to be consistent to both agencies. We wouldn't

1	want to put in a mitigation or a future storm
2	hardening report to FEMA that writes up
3	differently than what we are going to put in to
4	EFC. We want to make sure both of them are
5	written very clearly and what we want to do for
6	mitigation in the future.
7	DIRECTOR JOHNEAS: Sounds like, Greg,
8	regardless of whether you get FEMA on board or
9	you find a FEMA agent that shows up before the
10	next meeting, you may need to tap into this to
11	go for the EFC funding.
12	SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: Correct. Which
13	I won't know until tomorrow.
14	DIRECTOR JOHNEAS: And it will definitely be
15	before the next board meeting.
16	SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: Correct.
17	DIRECTOR LEVY: That's a different story.
18	You are looking for the EFC, it's no longer
19	FEMA.
20	DIRECTOR JOHNEAS: It's both. It could be
21	both. Could be both.
22	DIRECTOR LEVY: EFC is coming from New York
23	State, correct?
24	SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: Yes, EFC is
25	Environmental Facilities Corporation. Just

1	announced a couple weeks ago, \$4 million worth
2	of money.
3	DIRECTOR LEVY: Okay.
4	SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: So this may be a
5	very interesting project to them, especially the
6	SMLP money we got which is from EFC, that was
7	funneled from the EPA to the EFC to us and now
8	is at \$15 million that we are winding down on.
9	The storm hardening, all of our well sites. So
10	they already have some skin in the game with us,
11	25% grant, the rest of it, 0% loan knowing that this
12	site is subject to flooding. They have already
13	approved 2-A to be raised above the flood level,
14	they have already paid for 11-A to be raised
15	above the flood level. So this could be of
16	interest to the EFC to say, let's do the other
17	side, let's give them some funding.
18	But they have their rules and it's that's
19	why it has to go before an EFC committee to see,
20	are we capped at \$3 million per calendar year or
21	could this be looked at as a separate project
22	where we can get an additional \$3 million.
23	That's what I'm hoping to find out tomorrow.
24	DIRECTOR JOHNEAS: So you need the
25	discretion to have this money available for you

4	you if FFC comes back this week or payt week and
1	you if EFC comes back this week or next week and
2	says, yeah, you can go for the additional funds.
3	SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: Correct.
4	DIRECTOR LEVY: In that case I'll go for it.
5	I didn't know about EFC, I was thinking you were
6	talking about FEMA. That's a different player
7	all together.
8	DIRECTOR JOHNEAS: I didn't think anybody
9	everybody put the two together but they are
10	intermingled, Greg, I see.
11	SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: Yes.
12	DIRECTOR WEINBERG: May I make a motion to
13	approve this?
14	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: Yes, go ahead, Michael.
15	So moved by you?
16	DIRECTOR SMILEY: I move that we approve of
17	this. [Res. # 21-10-10]
18	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: Second?
19	DIRECTOR LEVY: I second it.
20	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: All in favor?
21	(Whereupon all members responded in the
22	affirmative.)
23	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: Any abstentions?
24	(No response.)
25	SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: Next item is

1	MR. LIMMER: You didn't get the opposed. Did
2	everybody there vote aye?
3	DIRECTOR LEVY: Yes.
4	MR. LIMMER: I wasn't sure how Dan voted at
5	the end.
6	DIRECTOR LEVY: I said yes once you broke it
7	down.
8	MR. LIMMER: Okay. I just wanted it clear on
9	the transcript.
10	DIRECTOR LEVY: Yeah. The original
11	presentation had to be, I thought he was talking
12	about FEMA exclusively even though we thought it
13	would be presented to EFC. Now I think the EFC
14	may be the lead agency and not necessarily FEMA.
15	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: The next topic is
16	something near and dear to Steve Limmer's heart.
17	He requested a 2% increase in his retainer.
18	MR. LIMMER: Do you want me to leave and come
19	back?
20	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: We are lucky it's lower
21	than what, it's about 5.7% inflation rate that
22	they are talking about.
23	I don't think he has to come off Zoom for the
24	moment.
25	Is there a motion to approve?

1	[Res. #21-10-11]
2	DIRECTOR SMILEY: So moved.
3	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: Seconded?
4	DIRECTOR FRANK: Second.
5	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: All in favor?
6	(Whereupon all members responded in the
7	affirmative.)
8	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: Any abstentions?
9	(No response.)
10	MR. LIMMER: Thank you all very much. I do
11	appreciate it. Don't take it for granted.
12	(Whereupon there was a discussion held off
13	the record.)
14	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: Motion to go into
15	Executive Session?
16	SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: Before we go,
17	do you mind if management team stays on just in
18	case we have some questions for them?
19	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: That's fine.
20	SUPERINTENDENT GRAZIANO: All management can
21	stay on.
22	Thank you, Michael.
23	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: Motion to go into
24	Executive Session? [Res. #21-10-12]
25	DIRECTOR LEVY: I move.

1	DIRECTOR LUSTBADER: Second.
2	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: Seconded, Carol.
3	Sorry, Dana.
4	All in favor?
5	MR. LIMMER: Just for the record, the
6	Executive Session is for contract negotiations,
7	possible litigation and legal advice.
8	(Whereupon the Board went into Executive
9	Session at 6:48 P.M.)
10	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: Steve, do you want to
11	propose the resolutions for the open items?
12	Revision of the quarantine policy.
13	MR. LIMMER: I would like to take 2.2(c) first;
14	approving the consulting agreement with Grosser.
15	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: Yes.
16	Motion to approve?
17	[Res. #21-10-13]
18	DIRECTOR LUSTBADER: Motion to approve
19	Consulting Agreement.
20	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: Seconded?
21	DIRECTOR FRANK: Second.
22	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: All in favor?
23	(Whereupon all members responded in the
24	affirmative.)
25	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: Any abstentions?

42

1	(No response.)
2	MR. LIMMER: Then a motion to approve 2.4(b),
3	the Revised Policy for Payment during the COVID
4	Quarantine Period. [Res. #21-10-14]
5	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: Motion to approve?
6	DIRECTOR LUSTBADER: Move to approve.
7	DIRECTOR FRANK: Second.
8	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: Thank you, Dana.
9	All in favor?
10	(Whereupon all members responded in the
11	affirmative.)
12	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: Any abstentions?
13	(No response.)
14	MR. LIMMER: The last is authorizing
15	counsel to send the letter in substance set
16	forth in 2.4(d) to the Nassau County Fire
17	Marshal. [Res. # 21-10-15]
18	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: So moved?
19	DIRECTOR JOHNEAS: I so move.
20	DIRECTOR LUSTBADER: Second.
21	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: Thank you, Dana.
22	All in favor?
23	(Whereupon all members responded in the
24	affirmative.)
25	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: Any abstentions?

1	(No response.)
2	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: One more resolution:
3	Motion to adjourn.
4	DIRECTOR FRANK: Move to adjourn.
5	DIRECTOR LEVY: Second.
6	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: All in favor?
7	(Whereupon all members responded in the
8	affirmative.)
9	CHAIRPERSON KALNICK: Any abstentions?
10	(No response.)
11	Meeting adjourned :
12	7:37 P.M.
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	